

**SNMP RESEARCH, INC. and SNMP
RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL, INC.,**

V.

Defendants.

Jury Demand

Martin Skagen (“Skagen Declaration”) [Doc. 156-14; 160-13]; (2) portions of paragraphs 2 and 5 of the Skagen Declaration which discusses the contents of the aforementioned Exhibit A [Doc. 156-13; 160-12]; (3) portions of Exhibit B to the Skagen Declaration (at 6) which also discusses the contents of the aforementioned Exhibit A [Doc. 156-15; 160-14]; and (4) portions of the Broadcom Defendants’ Evidentiary Supplement (at 4) which likewise discusses the contents of the aforementioned Exhibit A.

All of the above-referenced information the Broadcom Defendants moved to “conditionally file” under seal contains commercially sensitive pricing information and/or proprietary information pertaining to the terms of the License Agreement at issue in this case. The Court has previously found, by Orders entered on September 14, 2021 and April 29, 2022, that good cause exists in this case for sealing such commercially sensitive pricing information because Plaintiffs’ privacy interests with respect to such pricing information outweigh the public’s interest in accessing such information since the public disclosure of such information could be used by Plaintiffs’ competitors to gain leverage over Plaintiffs. [Docs. 89; 132].

Consequently, Plaintiffs support the Broadcom Defendants’ Motion to Seal and particularly join in respectfully requesting the Court to grant the Broadcom Defendants leave to submit under seal the following: (1) Exhibit A to the Declaration of Martin Skagen (“Skagen Declaration”) [Doc. 156-14; 160-13]; (2) portions of paragraphs 2 and 5 of the Skagen Declaration which discusses the contents of the aforementioned Exhibit A [Doc. 156-13; 160-12]; (3) portions of Exhibit B to the Skagen Declaration (at 6) which also discusses the contents of the aforementioned Exhibit A [Doc. 156-15; 160-14]; and (4) portions of the Broadcom Defendants’ Evidentiary Supplement (at 4) which likewise discusses the contents of the aforementioned Exhibit A.

Plaintiffs do not take a position regarding the Broadcom Defendants' other requests in the Motion to Seal.¹

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 5, 2022

By: /s/ John L. Wood

John L. Wood, Esq. (BPR #027642)
Cheryl G. Rice, Esq. (BPR #021145)
Rameen J. Nasrollahi, Esq. (BPR #033458)
EGERTON, McAFEE, ARMISTEAD & DAVIS, P.C.
900 S. Gay Street, Suite 1400
P.O. Box 2047
Knoxville, TN 37902
(865) 546-0500 (phone)
(865) 525-5293 (facsimile)
jwood@emlaw.com
crice@emlaw.com
masrollahi@emlaw.com

Dated: August 5, 2022

By: /s/ A. Matthew Ashley

A. Matthew Ashley (CA Bar. No. 198235)
Morgan Chu (CA Bar. No. 70446)
David Nimmer (CA Bar. No. 97170)
Olivia Weber (CA Bar. No. 319918)
IRELL & MANELLA LLP
1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
(310) 277-1010 (phone)
(310) 203-7199 (facsimile)
mchu@irell.com
dnimmer@irell.com
mashley@irell.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
SNMP Research International, Inc.
SNMP Research, Inc.

¹ While Plaintiffs do not take a position regarding Defendants' other requests, Plaintiffs note that Defendants' designations of "OCEO" (outside counsel eyes only) and SOURCE CODE RELATED MATERIALS are frequently problematic and have precluded Plaintiffs' counsel from sharing important information with their clients. Plaintiffs will meet and confer with Defendants to try to resolve this over-designation issue.